

methodische Mitscheinen der Seiter von der Seiter von der Seiter beweiter der Seiter der Seiter bestellt bestel

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradomark Office .

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/505.516 02:17:00 KAPABE (OGLU A-67587-1/AJ

FV82/0918

Maria S Swiatek

Flehr Hohbach Test Albritton & Merbert L

Four Embracadero Center

Suite 3400

San Francisco CA 94111-4187

EXA	AMINER
MILLER	,E
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3641	14
DATE MAILED:	ž.

09/18/01

a : 4

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

Ŕ	THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a)		is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	App	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1. 192(a). /
K	Apr to p	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed \$\frac{\frac{31}{0}}{0}\$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed olace the application in condition for allowance:
1.		The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
		a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE:
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3.		Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \square will be entered \square will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
		Claims allowed:
		Claims objected to:
		However;
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	K	The efficient exhibit or comment the rejection has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
	The	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
	Othe	er en

EDWARD A. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER